

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICE United States Patent and Trademary Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONTIRMATION NO. 4204-00020 10/774,983 02/09/2004 Timothy E. Brahier

26753

06/17/2004

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER DEVORE, PETER T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3751

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- (1) \
	10/774,983	BRAHIER ET AL.	·
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter T deVore	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of tho will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	his action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde 	·	•	nents is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	itage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02092004</u>. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/774,983

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,688,346. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the broader subject matter in the claims of the present application are covered by the narrower claims in the U.S. Patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chudy.

The Chudy reference discloses a device comprising a support frame 15, a feed assembly 24, a printer assembly/print head 25, and a loading assembly 26. Regarding claims 6 and 10, the claimed methods are inherently performed during the normal use of the Chudy device.

Claims 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baker.

The Baker reference discloses a device comprising a support frame 10, a feed assembly 14, a printer assembly/print head 28, and a loading assembly 24. Regarding claims 6 and 10, the claimed methods are inherently performed during the normal use of the Baker device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-15 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if the double patenting rejection is overcome.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3751

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Cronauer reference discloses a similar device, but the reference does not qualify as prior art due to the earlier provisional filing date of present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (703) 306-5481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd N

GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700